1. Given $\left(x_{i}, f\left(x_{i}\right), f^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)\right), i=0,1, \cdots, n, n>0$. Let $p_{2 n+1}(x)$ be the Hermite interpolation polynomial satisfying

$$
p_{2 n+1}\left(x_{i}\right)=f\left(x_{i}\right), \quad p_{2 n+1}^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right)=f^{\prime}\left(x_{i}\right), \quad i=0,1, \cdots, n
$$

Show that if $f(x) \in C^{2 n+2}$, then we have the following error estimate

$$
R(x)=f(x)-p_{2 n+1}(x)=\frac{f^{(2 n+2)}(\xi(x))}{(2 n+2)!} \omega_{n+1}^{2}(x)
$$

Give a least upper bound for the case $n=1$.
2. (a) Show that there is no polynomial $p_{3}(x)$ that interpolates

$$
f(-1)=1, \quad f^{\prime}(-1)=1, \quad f^{\prime}(1)=2, \quad f(2)=1
$$

Hint: Use the un-determined coefficient method and show that the system of equations for the coefficients does not have a solution. Explain as much as you can.
(b) Now we add two conditions

$$
f(1)=0, \quad f^{\prime \prime}(1)=2
$$

Use the divided difference table to find the polynomial interpolation and verify your result.
Solution: Let the solution be $p_{3}(x)=\sum_{0}^{3} a_{i} x^{i}$. Using the interpolation condition, we get a linear system of equations $A x=b$, where $x=\left[a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right]^{T}, b=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}1 & -1 & 1\end{array} 2\right)^{T}$ and

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\
0 & 1 & -2 & 3 \\
1 & 2 & 4 & 8 \\
0 & 1 & 2 & 3
\end{array}\right)
$$

The necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of the classical solution is that $\operatorname{rank}(A)=$ $\operatorname{rank}(A, b)$. In this case, $\operatorname{rank}(A)=3$ and $\operatorname{rank}(A, b)=4$. Thus there is no classical solution. Another method is to use Gaussian elimination method to show it.
(b): Using the divided differences table and treat $x=1$ as a triple node, we get $p_{5}(x)=1+(x+1)-$ $3(x+1)^{2} / 4+(x+1)^{2}(x-1)-9(x+1)^{2}(x-1)^{2} / 16-(x+1)^{2}(x-1)^{3} / 48$.
3. Let $\left\{x_{i}\right\}_{0}^{n}, x_{0}=a, x_{n}=b$ be a equally spaced nodal points for the interval $[a, b]$, that is, $x_{i+1}-x_{i}=$ $h=(b-a) / n$ is a constant. Assume also that $u(x) \in C^{5}[a, b]$ (can this be relaxed?).
(a) In the interval $\left[x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}\right]$, we can approximate $u(x)$ by a quadratic interpolation using nodal points $x_{i-1}, x_{i}, x_{i+1}$. From your interpolation function, find an approximation to $u^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{i}\right)$ and an error estimate. Hint: Use the second order divided difference.
(b) Using the formula we can get a linear system of equations to approximate the boundary value problem

$$
u^{\prime \prime}(x)=f(x), \quad a<x<b, \quad u(a)=0, \quad u(b)=0
$$

as

$$
\frac{U_{i-1}-2 U_{i}+U_{i-1}}{h^{2}}=f\left(x_{i}\right), \quad U_{0}=0, \quad U_{n}=0, \quad i=1,2, \cdots n-1
$$

where $U_{i} \approx u\left(x_{i}\right)$. Write down the matrix-vector form ( $\left.A U=F\right)$ of the linear system of equations above.
(c) Write down the component form of the $\operatorname{SOR}(\omega)$ method for the system of equations above. Do the Jacobi, Gauss-Seidel, SOR $(\omega)$ converge?

Hint: The $\operatorname{SOR}(\omega)$ method is $\mathbf{x}^{k+1}=(1-\omega) \mathbf{x}^{k}+\omega \mathbf{x}_{\text {Gauss-Seidel }}^{k+1}$, which converges for $0<\omega<2$ for this problem.

Solution: Using the quadratic polynomial interpolation we know that $\left\lvert\, \frac{u\left(x_{i-1}\right)-2 u\left(x_{i}\right)+u\left(x_{i-1}\right)}{h^{2}}-\right.$ $u^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{i}\right) \mid \leq C h$. If we use the Taylor expansion, we can get better estimate $\left\lvert\, \frac{u\left(x_{i-1}\right)-2 u\left(x_{i}\right)+u\left(x_{i-1}\right)}{h^{2}}-\right.$ $u^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{i}\right) \left\lvert\, \leq \frac{u_{\text {max }}^{(4)}}{12} h^{2}\right.$.

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
-\frac{2}{h^{2}} & \frac{1}{h^{2}} & & & & \\
\frac{1}{h^{2}} & -\frac{2}{h^{2}} & \frac{1}{h^{2}} & & & \\
& \frac{1}{h^{2}} & -\frac{2}{h^{2}} & \frac{1}{h^{2}} & & \\
& & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\
& & & \frac{1}{h^{2}} & -\frac{2}{h^{2}} & \frac{1}{h^{2}} \\
& & & & \frac{1}{h^{2}} & -\frac{2}{h^{2}}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
U_{1} \\
U_{2} \\
U_{3} \\
\vdots \\
U_{n-2} \\
U_{n-1}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
f\left(x_{1}\right) \\
f\left(x_{2}\right) \\
f\left(x_{3}\right) \\
\vdots \\
f\left(x_{n-2}\right) \\
f\left(x_{n-1}\right)
\end{array}\right]
$$

The $\operatorname{SOR}(\omega)$ method from $k$ to $k+1$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
U_{i}^{k+1} & =U_{i}^{k}, \quad i=1,2, \cdots, n-1, \quad U_{0}^{k+1}=0, U_{n}^{k+1}=0 \\
U_{i}^{k+1} & =(1-\omega) U_{i}^{k}+\omega\left(h^{2} f\left(x_{i}\right)+U_{i-1}^{k+1}+U_{i+1}^{k+1}\right) / 2, \quad i=1,2, \cdots, n-1
\end{aligned}
$$

One of advantages of iterative method is that we DO NOT need the matrix!
4. Write down the linear system of equations for the moments $S_{3}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{j}\right)=M_{j}$ for the periodic cubic spline and simplify it for the equally spaced nodal points by setting $h=x_{j}-x_{j-1}$. Do some research about how to solve the linear system of equations.

Solution: For a periodic cubic spline, we have $M_{0}=M_{n}$, we only need to put one of them as unknown. Also note that we have $M_{1}=M_{n+1}, M_{i}=M_{n+i}$. Let's put $M_{1}, M_{2}, \cdots M_{n}$ as unknown, then we have the following system of equations (for equally spaced nodes):

$$
\left[\begin{array}{cccccc}
2 & \frac{1}{2} & & & & \frac{1}{2} \\
\frac{1}{2} & 2 & \frac{1}{2} & & & \\
& \frac{1}{2} & 2 & \frac{1}{2} & & \\
& & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\
& & & \frac{1}{2} & 2 & \frac{1}{2} \\
\frac{1}{2} & & & & \frac{1}{2} & 2
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
M_{1} \\
M_{2} \\
U_{3} \\
\vdots \\
M_{n-1} \\
M_{n}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{c}
d_{1} \\
d_{2} \\
d_{3} \\
\vdots \\
d_{n-1} \\
d_{n}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Consider the matrices $L_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 & 0 \\ -e_{n-1} / 2 & I_{n-1}\end{array}\right), U_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}1 / 2 & -e_{n-1}^{T} / 2 \\ 0 & I_{n-1}\end{array}\right)$, then we have

$$
L_{1} A U_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & A_{n-1}-e_{n-1} / 2 e_{n-1}^{T} / 4
\end{array}\right)
$$

. The matrix $A_{n-1}-e_{n-1} / 2 e_{n-1}^{T} / 2$ is a tridiagonal matrix with the last diagonal being $7 / 4$.
5. (a) Derive a quadratic spline $S_{2}(x) \in C^{1}$ that satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{2}\left(x_{i}\right)=f\left(x_{i}\right), \quad i=0,1, \cdots, n, \quad \text { and } \quad S_{2}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=f^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hint: Write the quadratic spline $S_{2}(x)$ as

$$
S_{2}(x)=a_{i}+b_{i}\left(x-x_{i}\right)+c_{i}\left(x-x_{i}\right)\left(x-x_{i+1}\right), \quad x \in\left[x_{i}, x_{i+1}\right]
$$

and derive a system of equations for $a_{i}, b_{i}$ and $c_{i}$.
(b) Extra credit: Let $R(x)=f(x)-S_{2}(x)$ and $h=\max \left\{\left|x_{i+1}-x_{i}\right|\right\}$. Show the following error estimates if $f(x) \in C^{3}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|R^{\prime \prime}\right\|_{\infty} \leq h\left\|f^{\prime \prime \prime}\right\|_{\infty}, \quad \text { excluding the nodes, } \\
& \left\|R^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \leq h^{2}\left\|f^{\prime \prime \prime}\right\|_{\infty} / 8 \\
& \|R\|_{\infty} \leq\left(x_{n}-x_{0}\right) h^{3}\left\|f^{\prime \prime \prime}\right\|_{\infty} / 8
\end{aligned}
$$

Solution: From the interpolation condition, we have $a_{i}=f\left(x_{i}\right)$. From the continuity and the interpolation conditions we conclude that $f\left(x_{i}\right)+b_{i} h_{i}=f\left(x_{i+1}\right)$, which leads to $b_{i}=\frac{f\left(x_{i+1}\right)-f\left(x_{i}\right)}{h_{i}}$. Finally we use the continuity of $S_{2}^{\prime \prime}(x), S_{2}^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{i}-\right)=S_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime}\left(x_{i}+\right)$ to get $b_{i-1}+c_{i-1} h_{i-1}=b_{i}+c_{i} h_{i}$, that is,

$$
c_{i}=\frac{h_{i-1}}{h_{i}} c_{i-1}-\frac{1}{h_{i}}\left(\frac{f\left(x_{i+1}\right)-f\left(x_{i}\right)}{h_{i}}-\frac{f\left(x_{i}\right)-f\left(x_{i-1}\right)}{h_{i-1}}\right) .
$$

Finally we use $S_{2}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=y_{0}^{\prime}$ to get $c_{0}=\left(\frac{f\left(x_{1}\right)-f\left(x_{0}\right)}{h_{0}}-y_{0}^{\prime}\right)$.
In the interval $\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)$, the quadratic function is uniquely determined by $f\left(x_{0}\right), f\left(x_{1}\right)$, and $f^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)$, thus we can get an accurate estimate. In the second interval $\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)$ we can get similar error estimate with a perturbed $f^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right)$, keep doing this way, we can get the estimate for all intervals.

## 6. Programming Part:

Use a cubic spline (either the cardinal or B-splines, see text and pseudo-code there) assuming $S_{3}^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=$ $f^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)$ and $S_{3}^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)=f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)$ to approximate functions $f(x)=\cos (10 \pi x)$ and $f(x)=\frac{1}{1+25 x^{2}}$ in HW\#1. Plot the function and your approximation on the same plot. Plot also of the errors and compare with the results from HW 1.
The project part can be done as group up to 4 people. You need to state your group in you HW. Analysis can be done individually or in group. If you can not get your code working, you can use Hermite cubic interpolation, or even piecewise linear interpolations. A couple of points may be deducted though.
7. An extra credit project for the semester. Group work is OK.

This is example of how to simulate mean-curvature flows using a cubic spline. Assume that we have a two-dimensional smooth and closed curve $\mathbf{X}(s, t)=(X(s, t), Y(s, t))$ whose motion satisfies $\frac{d \mathbf{X}}{d t}=\mathbf{n} f(\kappa)$, where $\kappa$ is the curvature of the curve, $n$ is the normal direction, for example $f(\kappa)=1$, or $f(\kappa)=-1$, or $f(\kappa)=C \kappa$.
(a) Initially, we can use a set of discrete points $\left(X_{k}, Y_{k}\right)$ to represent the curve at $t=0, k=$ $1,2, \cdots N, X_{1}=X_{N+1}, Y_{1}=Y_{N+1}$. We can treat the points $\left(X_{k}, Y_{k}\right)$ as the function of the arc-length $s$, such that $s_{0}=0, s_{k+1}=s_{k}+\sqrt{\left(X_{k+1}-X_{k}\right)^{2}+\left(Y_{k+1}-Y_{k}\right)^{2}}$. Thus, we have $X_{k}^{0} \approx X\left(s_{k}, t^{0}\right), Y_{k} \approx Y\left(s_{k}, t^{0}\right)$, where the superscript is the time level, $t^{m+1}=t^{m}+\Delta t$ with $t^{0}=0$.
(b) Use a cubic spline with the period boundary condition to approximate the curve to obtain $\left(X\left(s, t^{m}\right), Y\left(s, t^{m}\right)\right)$ so that we can get the tangential $(\boldsymbol{\tau})$ and normal directions $\mathbf{n}$, and the curvature information, for example, $\boldsymbol{\tau}=\left(\frac{d X\left(s_{k}, t^{k}\right)}{d s}, \frac{d Y\left(s_{k}, t^{m}\right)}{d s}\right) /|\boldsymbol{\tau}|$, where $|\boldsymbol{\tau}|=\sqrt{\left(\frac{d X\left(s_{k}, t^{m}\right)}{d s}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{d Y\left(s_{k}, t^{m}\right)}{d s}\right)^{2}}$.
(c) Evolve the boundary using the Euler's method $\mathbf{X}^{m+1}=\mathbf{X}^{m}+\Delta t \mathbf{n}^{m} f\left(\kappa^{m}\right)$.

