

Q. What n should we take so that the composite Trapezoidal can have Simpson 6 significant digits? $f''(y) \sim O(1)$

T: $E_n \sim O(h^2)$, $h = \frac{b-a}{n}$ $|E_n| \leq 10^{-6}$

S: $E_n \sim O(h^4)$ $\frac{1}{h^4} \leq 10^{-6}$

No. of function evaluation $\frac{1}{h^4} \leq 10^{-6}$ $n \geq 10^{4/4} \approx 32$ $n \geq 1000$
 $O(n)$ Trap.
 $O(2n)$ Simpson:

Feb 24-2:55 PM

Relation between composite trapezoidal and Simpson's rule

$$S_n = \frac{4T_{2n} - T_n}{4 - 1}$$

Richardson extrapolation

A useful acceleration technique

Ideas: Given $A(h) = a_0 + a_1 h + a_2 h^2 + \dots$ (1)

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} A(h) = a_0$$

$$A\left(\frac{h}{2}\right) = a_0 + a_1 \frac{h}{2} + a_2 \left(\frac{h}{2}\right)^2 \dots$$

$$A\left(\frac{h}{2}\right) - \frac{1}{2}A(h) = a_0 - \frac{1}{2}a_0 + 0 + a_2\left(\left(\frac{h}{2}\right)^2 - \frac{h^2}{4}\right)$$

$$a_0 = \frac{A\left(\frac{h}{2}\right) - \frac{1}{2}A(h)}{1 - \frac{1}{2}} \pm a_2 \frac{\frac{3h^2}{4}}{4} + O(h^3)$$

Feb 24-3:14 PM

$$T_n(h) = a_0 + a_2 h^2 + a_4 h^4 \dots \quad \text{Composite trapezoidal}$$

$$T_n(sh) = a_0 + a_2 (sh)^2 + a_4 (sh)^4 \dots$$

$$T_n(sh) - \delta^2 T_n = a_0 - \delta^2 a_0 + a_4 ((sh)^4 - \delta^4 h^4)$$

Solve for a_0

$$a_0 = \frac{T_n(sh) - \delta^2 T_n}{1 - \delta^4} + O(h^4)$$

If we take $\delta = \frac{1}{2}$, we get

$$a_0 = \frac{T_n(\frac{h}{2}) - \frac{1}{4} T_h}{1 - \frac{1}{4}} = \frac{4 T_n(\frac{h}{2}) - T_h}{3}$$

Feb 24-3:24 PM

Richardson extrapolation table.

$$\text{If } A(h) = a_0 + a_1 h + a_2 h^2 + \dots \quad a_1 \neq 0$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 h & A_{0,0} = A(h) \\
 \frac{h}{2} & A_{1,0} = A\left(\frac{h}{2}\right) \quad A_{1,1} = \frac{A_{1,0} - \frac{1}{2}A_{0,0}}{1 - \frac{1}{2}} \\
 \frac{h}{4} & A_{2,0} = A\left(\frac{h}{4}\right) \quad A_{2,1} = \frac{A_{2,0} - \frac{1}{2}A_{1,0}}{1 - \frac{1}{2}} \quad A_{2,2} = \frac{A_{2,1} - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 A_{1,1}}{1 - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2} \\
 \frac{h}{8} & A_{3,0} = A\left(\frac{h}{8}\right), \quad A_{3,1} = \frac{A_{3,0} - \frac{1}{2}A_{2,0}}{1 - \frac{1}{2}} \quad A_{3,2} = \frac{A_{3,1} - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2 A_{2,2}}{1 - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^2} \\
 & A_{3,3} = \frac{A_{3,2} - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^3 A_{2,2}}{1 - \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^3}
 \end{aligned}$$

Feb 24-3:32 PM

Ex. Apply the Richardson extrapolation to a numerical differentiation

$$f'(x) \approx \frac{f(x+h) - f(x)}{h}$$

$$E = \left| f'(x) - \frac{f(x+h) - f(x-h)}{2h} \right| \leq O(h)$$

$$f'(x) = \frac{f(x+h) - f(x-h)}{2h}$$

$$E = \left| f'(x) - \frac{f(x+h) - f(x-h)}{2h} \right| = \frac{|f''(x)|}{h^2} + O(h^4)$$


Feb 24-3:40 PM

Romberg Integration. Apply the Richardson extrapolation to the composite rule

The Richardson table.

$$T_i \rightarrow A_{\alpha_0}$$

$$T_{W_i} \rightarrow A_{1,0} \quad A_{1,1} \quad A_{i,1} = \frac{z^{1+i_0} - A_{i-1,0}}{z^2 - 1}$$

$$A_{\text{new}} = \frac{1}{2} A_{k,k} - A_{M,k}$$

Why don't we have h^{2h+1} terms instead?

Thm: If $f(x) \in C^{k+2}([a, b])$ for any $k \geq 0$, then $\int_a^b f(x) dx = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i^*) \Delta x$ terms in the error

$$\text{then } R_n = \int_a^b f(x) dx - T_n$$

$$= - \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\beta_{2i}}{c_{2i+1}} h^{2i} \left(f^{(2i+1)}(b) - f^{(2i+1)}(a) \right)$$

B_i are the Bernoulli numbers, $B_2 = \frac{1}{2}, B_4 = -\frac{1}{30}, B_6 = \frac{1}{42}$, $B_8 = -\frac{1}{32}$

Feb 24-3:55 PM

Given a Σ_{20} , $\epsilon = 10^{-6}$, how do we choose n ?
 How do we know error without the true A_{true}
 integration? Auto integration: posterior
 $I(f) = \int_a^b f(x) dx$, then error estimate
 $I(f) - A_{i,k} = \frac{1}{q^{k+1}} (I(f) - A_{i,k})$
 $k=1, O(h^2)$
 $A_{i,k} = I(f) + C h^{2k+2}$
 $A_{i,k} = I(f) + C \frac{h^{2k+2}}{2^{2k+2}}$
 $\frac{A_{i,k} - I(f)}{A_{i+k} - I(f)} \approx q^{k+1}$
 $\Rightarrow A_{i,k} - I(f) = q^{k+1} (A_{i+k} - I(f))$
 Solve for $I(f)$. We get
 $I(f) \approx \frac{q^{k+1} A_{i+k} - A_{i,k}}{q^{k+1} - 1}$ (Richardson
 extrapolation)

Feb 24-4:08 PM

Feb 24-3:24 PM