

# Fourth order compact scheme for 1D BVP

Zhilin Li \*

**Abstract**

**AMS Subject Classification 2000** 65N06, 65N12.

**Keywords:** Poisson/Helmholtz equations, high order compact method.

## 1 Fourth order compact scheme for 1D Sturm-Liouville BVP

Consider

$$(p'u')' + Ku = f, \quad x_l < x < x_r, \quad u(x_l) = u_a, \quad u(x_r) = u_b. \quad (1)$$

We assume that  $p(x) \geq p_0 > 0$ .

### 1.1 Constant $p$

If this case, we simply take  $p = 1$  and assume that  $K = 0$ . We have the following lemma for a three-point finite difference formula. Given a grid point  $x_i$ . Assume that  $x_i - x_{i-1} = h_1$  and  $x_{i+1} - x_i = h_2$ . We design the following compact finite difference scheme,

$$\sum_{k=-1}^1 \alpha_k U_{i+k} = \sum_{k=-1}^1 \beta_k f_{i+k}. \quad (2)$$

The coefficients are given as

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_1 &= \frac{2}{h_1(h_1 + h_2)}, & \alpha_3 &= \frac{2}{h_2(h_1 + h_2)}, & \alpha_2 &= -(\alpha_l + \alpha_r) = -\frac{2}{h_1 h_2}, \\ \beta_1 &= \frac{h_1^2 - h_2^2 + h h_2}{6h_1(h_1 + h_2)}, & \beta_3 &= \frac{-h_1^2 + h_2^2 + h h_2}{6h_2(h_1 + h_2)}, & \beta_2 &= \frac{h_2^2 + h^2 + 3h_1 h_2}{6h_1 h_2}. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Then, the finite difference scheme is exact if  $u(x)$  is any polynomial of degree 4 and the local truncation error  $T_i$

$$\sum_k \beta_k = 1, \quad |T_i| \leq C \max \{h_1^3, h_2^3\} \quad (4)$$

and  $|T_i| \leq Ch^4$  if  $h_1 = h_2 = h$ . We can see the ‘symmetry’ between the left and right grid points as expected. Note that when  $h_1 = h_2$  we have the standard fourth-order compact formula. The finite

---

\*Department of Mathematics, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC 27695-8205, USA

difference coefficients satisfy the sign property needed for an M-matrix conditions. When  $K \neq 0$ , we can treat  $Ku$  as a source term like  $f$ , that is, we add the

$$\beta_1 U_{i-1} + \beta_2 U_i + \beta_3 U_{i+1}, \quad (5)$$

term to the finite difference equation at the right hand side.

Thus, we will have fourth order accurate and stable finite difference method if a uniform mesh is used. The non-uniform mesh can be used for a few isolated grid points without affecting global fourth order accuracy.

## 1.2 Variable $p(x)$

For simplicity of the discussion we assume that  $K = 0$  and a uniform mesh. We also need  $p'(x)$  and  $p''(x)$  or third order approximations. Define

$$\hat{p}(x) = p - \frac{h^2}{12} \frac{(p')^2}{p} + \frac{h^2}{24} p'' \quad (6)$$

which depends on the mesh size  $h$ . The fourth order FD equation then is

$$\frac{\hat{p}_{i+\frac{1}{2}} U_{i+1} - \left( \hat{p}_{i+\frac{1}{2}} + \hat{p}_{i-\frac{1}{2}} \right) U_i + \hat{p}_{i-\frac{1}{2}} U_{i-1}}{h^2} = F_i, \quad (7)$$

where

$$F_i = \frac{f_{i-1} + 10f_i + f_{i+1}}{12} - \frac{h^2}{12} \frac{\left( \frac{p'f}{p} \right) (x_{i+\frac{1}{2}}) - \left( \frac{p'f}{p} \right) (x_{i-\frac{1}{2}})}{2h}. \quad (8)$$

Note that the first term is the same as that when  $p = 1$ . The proof is based on an important lemma.

**Lemma 1** Assume that  $u(x) \in C^4$ , then

$$\frac{u(x+h/2) - u(x-h/2)}{h} = u'(x) + \frac{h^2}{24} u'''(x) + O(h^4). \quad (9)$$

**Derivation of the FD equation:** From  $(pu')' = f$  and the lemma, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{p_{i+\frac{1}{2}} u'(x_{i+\frac{1}{2}}) - p_{i-\frac{1}{2}} u'(x_{i-\frac{1}{2}})}{h} &= (pu')'(x_i) + \frac{h^2}{24} (pu''')'(x_i) + O(h^4) \\ &= f(x_i) + \frac{h^2}{24} f''(x_i) + O(h^4). \end{aligned}$$

We further apply the lemma to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{p_{i+\frac{1}{2}} \frac{u(x_{i+1}) - u(x_i)}{h} - p_{i-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{u(x_i) - u(x_{i-1}))}{h}}{h} &= f(x_i) + \frac{h^2}{24} f''(x_i) + O(h^4) \\ &\quad + \frac{h}{24} \left( p_{i+\frac{1}{2}} u'''(x_{i+\frac{1}{2}}) - p_{i-\frac{1}{2}} u'''(x_{i-\frac{1}{2}}) \right) + O(h^4) \\ &= f(x_i) + \frac{h^2}{24} f''(x_i) + \frac{h^2}{24} (pu''')'(x_i) + O(h^4). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, the key is to have a second order approximation to the  $(pu''')(x_i)$  term. From the ODE  $(pu')' = f$ , we know that

$$u'' = \frac{f - p'u'}{p}. \quad (10)$$

We differentiate  $(pu')' = f$  once more and expand to get

$$pu''' + 2p'u'' + p''u' = f'. \quad (11)$$

From the above, we solve for  $u'''$  and plug the expression of  $u''$  to get

$$u''' = \frac{f'}{p} - \frac{2p'(f - p'u')}{p^2} - \frac{p''u'}{p}. \quad (12)$$

Thus, we have

$$\frac{h^2}{24}(pu''')' = \frac{h^2}{24}f'' - \frac{h^2}{24}\left(\frac{2p'f}{p}\right)' + \frac{h^2}{24}\left(\left(\frac{2(p')^2}{p} - p''\right)u'\right)'. \quad (13)$$

Finally we apply the central finite difference formula for the above three terms and note that the last term is a self-adjoint second order finite difference operator that can be combined with the  $(pu')'$  term, then we get the designed finite difference equation and the local truncation error.

**Example 1** Let the true solution be  $u(x) = \sin(kx)$ ,  $0 < x < \pi$ ,  $p(x) = 1 + x^2$ . The source term is  $f(x) = 2x \cos(kx) - k^2(1 + x^2) \sin(kx)$ . Table 1 is a grid refinement analysis when  $k = 3$ .

Table 1: A grid refinement analysis of the third order compact finite element method for Example 1 with  $k = 5\pi$ .

$N$	$\ E\ _\infty$	Order
16	7.2920e-04	
32	4.4976e-05	4.0191
64	2.8017e-06	4.0048
128	1.7496e-07	4.0012
256	1.0938e-08	3.9996
512	6.8355e-10	4.0002
1024	4.1655e-11	4.0365
2056	2.7427e-12	3.9248

## 2 A new third order method based on a posterior error analysis

Now we consider  $u''(x) = -f(x)/\beta$ . It is well-known that the finite element solution is the exact at nodal points for the special case. Thus the interpolation function is the same as the finite element solution,

$$u_h^I(x) = \sum_{j=1}^M u(x_j)\phi_j(x) = \sum_{j=1}^M c_j^*\phi_j(x) = u_h(x), \quad (14)$$

From the classical interpolation theory, see for example, [], we know that on an element  $e_k = [x_k, x_{k+1}]$ , the following is true

$$\begin{aligned} u(x) &= u_h^I(x) + \frac{1}{2}(x - x_k)(x - x_{k+1})u''(x) + O(h^3) \\ &= u_h(x) - \frac{1}{2}(x - x_k)(x - x_{k+1})\frac{f(x)}{\beta} + O(h^3). \end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Thus we obtain a third method using a posterior error estimate with a simple correction term that can be easily calculated.

**Theorem 1** *Let  $u(x) \in H^2(x_l, x_r)$ ,  $f(x) \in L^2(x_l, x_r)$ , and  $u_h(x)$  be the finite element solution obtained using the  $P_1$  finite element space, then*

$$u_h^{new}(x) = u_h(x) - \frac{1}{2\beta}(x - x_k)(x - x_{k+1})f(x), \quad x_k < x < x_{k+1}, \tag{16}$$

*is a third order approximation to the true solution  $u(x)$  and we have the following error estimates*

$$\|u - u_h^{new}\|_{L^2} \leq Ch^3, \quad \|u - u_h^{new}\|_{H^1} \leq Ch^2, \quad \|u - u_h^{new}\|_e \leq Ch^2. \tag{17}$$

*Note also that  $u_h^{new}(x_k) = u(x_k)$  for all  $k$ 's. That is, the solution has one more order accuracy.*